Pakistan Information Commission

1st Floor, National Arcade, 4-A Plaza

F-8 Markaz, Islamabad Website: www.rti.gov.pk Phone: 051-9261014 Email: appeals@rti.gov.pk

@PkInfoComm



In The Pakistan Information Commission, Islamabad

Appeal No 402-06/20

Faisal Manzoor Anwar (Appellant)

Vs.

Ministry of Law and Justices

Through its Public Information Officer (Respondent)

Order

Date: September 29, 2020

Zahid Abdullah: Information Commissioner

A. The Appeal

- 1. The Appellant filed an appeal, dated 20-05-2020, to the Commission, stating that he Submitted an information request to the Secretary, Ministry of Water Resources dated 28-04-2020 under the Right of Access to Information Act 2017.
- 2. The information sought by the Appellant is as follows:
 - "Please provide a certified copy of indicative budget ceiling separately for current and development expenditure for FY 2020-21 as communicated to PAO of this ministry by the Finance Division"

B. Proceedings

- 3. Through a notice dated 16-06-2020 sent to Ahmed Raza Khan Deputy Secretary / Public Information Officer, Ministry of Law and Justice the Commission called upon the Respondent to submit reasons for not providing the requested information.
- 4. The Respondent did not respond to the notice and the hearing date was fixed for September 17, 2020 through the hearing notice sent on Aug 19, 2020 and both parties were informed accordingly.
- 5. The Respondent did not respond to the notice of the commission.

C. Discussion and Commission's View on Relevant Issues

- 6. The questions for the consideration of the commission are as under:
 - (a) Should a citizen have access to requested information in accordance with the provisions of the Right of Access to Information Act 2017, hereafter referred as Act?
 - (b) Did the Respondent follow procedure laid down in the Act in responding to the request of the citizen?
- 7. The requested information belongs to the category of information which public bodies are legally bound to proactively disclose, through their web sites, under Section 5 (1) g), and (i) of the Act.
- 8. Section 10 (1) of the Act fixes responsibility on the designated Public Information Officer, (PIO) to ensure that "requests are dealt with promoting full compliance by the public body of its obligation under this Act".
- 9. In the instant appeal, the Public Information Officer, (PIO), failed to perform following obligations under the Act.
 - I. Failure to provide "written acknowledgement in response to" a request for information filed by citizen as required by Section 10 (1) of the Act.
 - II. Failure to follow procedure enunciated in the Act for acceptance and refusal of request for information laid down in Section 13 (2) of the Act which is as under:
 - "(2) The designated official shall process the request and by notice in writing inform the applicant that--
 - a) A request has been acknowledged and the applicant is entitled to receive the information or record, subject to the payment of the prescribed fee. On payment of the fee the designated official shall provide the requested record, or
 - b) The request has been rejected
 - i. On the basis that it does not comply with the provisions of this act and the rules made there under but only after requisite assistance has been offered to the applicant as mentioned in sub-section (2) of section 10;
 - ii. On the basis that the information is already available in a generally accessible form in which case the notice shall indicate to the applicant the place from where the information may be found;
 - iii. On the basis that it is incorrect, because it relates to information which is substantially the same information that has already has been provided to the same applicant during last six months; or
 - iv. In whole or in part, on the basis that the information is exempt subject to section 7 or section 16, in which the notice shall specify the exact

exception, relied upon and specifying details regarding the right of the applicant to appeal against this decision"

- v. Failure in following the timeline for responding to the information requests as required under Section 14 (1) and (2) of the Act as the PIO did not respond to the information request at all.
- 10. The PIO not only delayed and denied access to the requested information, the PIO also failed to comply with the duly sent notices of the commission.
- 11. Had the Respondent gone through the Act after receiving request for information of the citizen and notices of this commission, clearly referencing the Act, it would have saved time and resources of this Commission. It also demonstrates that the Respondent has not taken any steps for the implementation of the Act. It demonstrates that the powers vested in officers are not being exercised "reasonably, fairly, justly, and for the advancement of the purposes of the enactment" as required under Section24A (1) of the General Clauses Act 1897.
- 12. This commission is of the view that the wellful delay or denial of the requested information causes undue cost to citizens and the commission. Citizens have to approach this commission for the exercise of their fundamental constitutional right of access to information which involves cost both in terms of money and time.
- 13. Citizens of Pakistan through their elected representatives have included the provision of imposing fine on public official who wilfully delay or deny access to the requested information so that they do not have to pay the undue cost in terms of time and money in exercising their right of access to information because of the dereliction of the duty of a public official.
- 14. The commission has no option but to fulfil its legal obligation and offset this trend of raising cost in terms of time and money for citizens, the commission and the superior judiciary.
- 15. If directions of the commission in this Order are not followed, it will be left with no option but to invoke Section 20 (f) of the Right of Access to Information Act 2017.

D. Order

- 16. The appeal is allowed. The Respondent is directed to provide the requested information to the Appellant, with intimation to this office, at the earliest, but in any case, not later than 10 working days of the receipt of this Order.
- 17. The Respondent is directed to notify Public Information Officer, (PIO), under Section 9 of the Act, put the contact details of the PIO on its web sites as required under Section 5 (1) (h)of the Act and submit compliance report to the commission within 10 working days of the receipt of this order.

- 18. The Respondent is directed to take immediate steps to proactively share through the web site all categories of information mentioned in Section 5 of the Right of Access to Information Act 2017 and submit the compliance report to the commission by 19/09/2020.
- 19. Copies of this order be sent to the Chief Executive Officer, Chaklala Cantonment Board and the Appellant for information and necessary action.

Mohammad Azam Chief Information Commissioner

Fawad Malik Information Commissioner

Zahid Abdullah Information Commissioner

Announced on: September 29, 2020

This order consists of 4 (four) pages, each page has been read and signed