

**Pakistan Information Commission**  
**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4620-04/25**

**Raja Taimoor**

**Vs**

**Railway Carriage Factory, Islamabad**

July 24, 2025

Appellant present in person. Abdur Rahman, Assistant Executive Engineer, Railway Carriage Factory, Islamabad appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body vide letter dated 03-06-2025 furnished copies of documents required by the appellant cited at serial no. 2 to 5 of the information request, whereas regarding the documents cited at serial no. 1 of the information request, it was submitted that said record is confidential and cannot be provided. In addition to that, the public body vide letter dated 12-11-2024 informed the appellant that land/site in his application is high value/premium property of Pakistan Railways and has already been proposed as premium value which can be used for any high value purpose in order to get healthy revenue for Pakistan Railways, hence it cannot be leased out.
2. The appellant has acknowledged sharing of agreements cited at serial no. 2 to 5 of the information request but with the objection that he has not been provided with the certified copy of the required document. The representative of the public body was asked to attest the same, who has attested the said documents and same is handed over to the appellant.
3. The information required at serial no. 1 of the information request was well explained by the public body in his letter dated 12-11-2024 addressed to the appellant.
4. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4525-02/2025**

**Mansoor Ali Khan**

**Vs**

**Printing Corporation of Pakistan**

July 24, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated 28-03-2025, informed that as per PCP Head Office Letter No. 16/1/2016-PROD/2049 dated 07-10-2021, all gazette notifications were shifted to PCP Press Islamabad for onward printing from October 2021. The appellant may obtain the required documents from PCP Press Islamabad. Copy of the written reply was shared with the appellant, who has acknowledged its receipt but has raised an objection that his grievance has not been addressed and the matter has merely been shifted to the Head Office. He contends that he is entitled to receive the said information from the Manager, PCP Karachi.
2. The Commission has examined the request. The appellant has requested copy of the gazette published regarding the notification dated 20-05-2024, issued by the Establishment Division, Government of Pakistan, being the main complainant, vide which penalties of 'Censure' and 'Withholding of one increment for a period of two years' were imposed upon Mr. Nouman Afzal Awan.
3. The Manager, PCP Karachi, has categorically stated that the process of printing such notifications has been shifted to PCP Islamabad since October 2021. Therefore, the objection raised by the appellant regarding his dissatisfaction is not sustainable and is thus turned down. He may approach the Manager, PCP Islamabad, for obtaining the said information. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4318-12/2024**

**Aashir Ali**

**Vs**

**Ministry of Defence**

July 24, 2025

Khushnood Ahmed Kamal, Section Officer, MOD appeared on behalf of the public body and has informed in black and white that the notification about deployment of Army on the day of protest in Islamabad was issued by the Ministry of Interior, and the remaining information also pertains to the said ministry and the appellant may approach the Ministry of Interior for having the same.

2. It is also noted by the Commission that the appellant has not mentioned any date of deployment of Army in Islamabad, and it does not fulfil the requirement of Section 11(iii) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.
3. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoab Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4636-04/2025**

**Aamir Baloch**

**Vs**

**Pakistan Public Administration Research Centre (PPARC)**

July 24, 2025

Shahid Nawaz, Director PPARC appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The appellant has required from Director General, PPARC Establishment Division Islamabad information in respect of employees working in FBR on the basis of Balochistan Domicile and certified copies of the domicile of the said employees.  
Said information was not allegedly provided to the appellant, hence he filed this appeal.
2. On receiving notice of the Commission, Deputy Director, Research Establishment Division, PPARC informed that the requisite information/data is maintained by FBR and the public body has requested FBR to provide the said data, which is still awaited.
3. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request and written reply of the public body. It is noted that the data/information required by the appellant is maintained by FBR and not by the Director General, PPARC.
4. Response of the public body has already been shared with the appellant, therefore no order can be passed to the Director General, PPARC to provide the information which is not maintained by its office. Hence, the appeal stands disposed of in the manner that the appellant may approach the FBR for having the required information, and if not provided, he may approach this Commission by filing a fresh appeal. No further proceedings are required. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4166-11/2024**

**Muhammad Izhar Ahmed**

**Vs**

**Oil and Gas Regulatory Authority (OGRA)**

July 24, 2025

Munawar Sajjad, Assistant Registrar, OGRA and Fahim Ullah, Assistant Executive Director, OGRA appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 21-03-2025 under RGL No. 146097691. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4514-02/2025**

**Aun Ali**

**Vs**

**Division Superintendent Quetta Railway**

July 24, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 15-05-2025 under RGL No. 153052145. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4716-05/2025**

**Nauman Ali Haider**

**Vs**

**National Book Foundation**

July 24, 2025

InamUllah Khan, Assistant Director, NBF appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 23-06-2025 under RGL No. 153082639. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4756-06/2025**

**Syed Raza Ali Gardezi**

**Vs**

**Agha Khan University, Karachi**

July 24, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The respondent, in written reply dated 23-06-2025, has taken the plea that the it is not a public body. However, the appellant, vide his email dated 07-07-2025, has filed rejoinder and contested the plea of the public body.
2. In view of the above, the matter is adjourned for arguments on 11-08-2025 at Camp Office Karachi. Notice be issued to the respondent for attendance and to the appellant (if he so desires).

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4121-10/2024**

**Nadir Khan**

**Vs**

**Federal Board of Revenue (FBR)**

July 24, 2025

Syed Shabih Haider, Deputy Director and Zafar Iqbal, Second Secretary, FBR appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Compliance of the order dated 05-03-2025 has been made. Copy of the inquiry report provided by the FBR in pursuance of the said order was shared with the appellant 09-04-2025 under RGL No 153080353 and no objection has been received from the appellant meaning thereby that he is satisfied with the information provided to him.
2. In view of the compliance of the order, the implementation proceedings stand settled. No further proceedings are required. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4662-04/2025**

**Muhammad Luqman Aslam**

**Vs**

**Pakistan Industrial Technical Assistance Centre (PITAC) College, Lahore**

July 24, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Response provided by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 14-05-2025 under RGL No. 153081767. No response has been received meaning thereby that he is satisfied with the information provided to him. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4487-02/2025**

**Farrukh Nawaz Bhatti**

**Vs**

**Capital Development Authority (CDA)**

July 24, 2025

Ali Muhammad Advocate appeared on behalf of Ms. Mehraj Tareen, Council for the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 30-04-2025 under RGL No. 153081466. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 3758-07/2024**

**Sarfaraz A. Bhatti**

**Vs**

**Capital Development Authority (CDA)**

July 24, 2025

Appellant present in person. Ali Muhammad Advocate appeared on behalf of Ms. Mehraj Tareen Advocate, Council for the public body.

1. Information provided by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 22-04-2025 under RGL No. 153081183, whereupon he submitted a rejoinder dated 14-05-2025 expressing concerns over the non-provision of his requested information regarding CDA Letter No. CDA/DL(RC-15)-231/71 dated 25-03-1971; specifically:
  - i) all related correspondence with his late father,
  - ii) details of payment made thereunder, and
  - iii) copy of the complete file No. CDA/DL(RC-15)-231/71.
2. The appellant has opposed the version of the public body, stating that they are concealing the rightful information and not providing it on one pretext or another. He has supported his stance by presenting correspondence from the Coordination Section of the public body dated 07-11-1972 and 21-11-1972, along with copy of a note sheet prepared during the year 1973.
3. In the presence of this important record and the categorical denial by the public body, the appeal stands disposed of in the manner that the appellant is at liberty to submit a request for inquiry before the Commission under the Act. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner